

# REGIN indicators: The importance of creating a relevant evidence base to underpin regional policymaking



Francesco Pasetti - Research Fellow, CIDOB



The REGIN Project is funded by the European Union's Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund.



# 1/ REGIN indicators - Why?

Need for an analytical tool to evaluate the regional governance of integration, based on empirical evidence

- Institutional recognition at EU level → 2019-2022, AMIF funded projects
  - Key role of regions in migrant and refugee integration
  - Need for a common frame of evaluation and planification → REGIN
- Academic interest → fill in a “gap” in the literature
  - Indicators at national level: MIPEX, NIEM
  - Indicators at city level: Intercultural Cities Index
  - Regional: **✗**



## 2/ MIPEX-R: What is and how does it work?

- 1<sup>st</sup> EU system of indicators for regional governance of integration (0-100 scale)
- Governance “quality” = development & capacity to ensure migrant equality

Analytical dimensions

- **Elements** : Actions; Actors & relations; Resources
- **Phases**: Formulation; Issuing (Policy output); Implementation; Evaluation
- **Target-populations**: TCNs; BIPs (Asylum seekers and refugees)
- **Policy-focus**: Main integration areas (health, housing, labour market, etc.)

- 61 indicators (scores) → evaluation of governance
  - ✓ Strengths and Weaknesses
  - ✓ Key challenges and good practices
  - ✓ More and less developed parts (e.g., gaps)



# 3/ MIPEX-R: What is and what does it measure?

- 25 European regions including 6 REGIN partner-regions (at 31.03.2020):

## Gaps - e.g.:

- 1: Inclusion of migrants' voice
- 2: Diversification of resources
- 3: Monitoring mechanisms

## Best practices - e.g.,

- Catalonia language policies
- Skåne's social assistance

	Azores	Catalonia	Murcia	Campania	Puglia	Skåne
<b>Governance system</b>	58	62	20	39	50	68
Actions	63	65	15	43	47	46
Actors&Relations	71	66	12	19	41	74
Resources	39	54	33	54	63	83
<b>Governance Process</b>	48	55	13	37	56	59
Formulation	58	41	3	50	56	64
Policy output	71	71	13	39	39	48
Implementation	33	59	17	36	65	81
Evaluation	31	50	19	25	63	44
<b>Policy focus</b>	58	66	16	50	28	63
Antidiscrimination	88	25	0	75	38	13
Culture and Religion	100	100	25	25	25	63
Education	71	71	14	93	14	50
Healthcare	75	88	63	63	63	88
Housing	38	0	0	38	13	63
Labour market	57	14	0	50	21	57
Language	17	100	8	33	25	25
Social security and assistance	50	89	0	47	36	94
<b>Target Population</b>	60	61	11	34	49	54
TCNs	67	67	17	44	44	56
BIPs	53	56	5	23	54	52



# 4/ MIPEX-R: Scope and Future

- Present

Comparative analysis *in/cross-country*



Similarities/differences, good practices

In-case analysis



Strengths and weakness

- Future

Longitudinal analysis



Evolution: tracking progress



# Thank you!

Francesco Pasetti - [fpasetti@cidob.org](mailto:fpasetti@cidob.org)



[reginproject@crpm.org](mailto:reginproject@crpm.org)



[reginproject.eu](http://reginproject.eu)



[@REGINProject](https://twitter.com/REGINProject)



The REGIN Project is funded by the European Union's Asylum, Migration and Integration Fund.

